UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/801,903 | 03/16/2004 | James Scott Rhodes JR. | PCCR122524 | 3403 |
| | 7590 08/25/2009 STENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC | | EXAMINER | |
| 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347 | | JANAKIRAMAN, NITHYA | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2123 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/25/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Intomious Cumpany | 10/801,903 RHODES ET AL. | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | NITHYA JANAKIRAMAN | 2123 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | |
| (1) <u>NITHYA JANAKIRAMAN</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Clint Feekes (Reg. 51,670)</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 21 August 2009. | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2 | 2)∏ applicant's representative | e] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> . | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Koenig, Hall, Bowman. | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N | I/A. | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed at le attempted to explain the differences between the claim subprocessing and data, and the component based approach. response would now be filed.</u> | ngth the history of the claimed pject matter and the prior art, s | <u>l subject matter.</u> pecifically a sep | Applicant eration of |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | opy of the amendments that w | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, ' | been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS | LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| /Nithya Janakiraman/ | | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)